

# **Doubly triggered vowel harmony via cumulative cophonologies**

### Overview

• Full vowel harmony in Guébie is conditioned by two extra-phonological factors: lexical item and morphosyntactic environment.

• Distinct frameworks have been developed to model lexical versus morphosyntactic conditioning of phonological processes:

Lexical	Morphosyntactic
Lexical phonology	Match Theory
Indexed constraints	Phase Theory
Cophonology Theory	

- To account for doubly conditioned phonological processes like vowel harmony in Guébie, I expand on the Cophonologies by Phase (CBP) framework of Sande and Jenks (To appear).
- By combining two crucial features of CBP—spell-out by phase and phonological subrankings of constraints associated with Vocabulary Items—I show that cumulative constraint subrankings straightforwardly account for doubly conditioned phonological effects.

# **Cophonologies by Phase**

- CBP: models of the morpho/phonology interface • Phase-based spell-out
- Late insertion
- Three components of VIs
- VI-conditioned phonology: Vocabulary items are composed of three contentful parts, any of which may be null:
- 1. Tonal or segmental featural content  $(\mathcal{F})$ ,
- 2. Prosodic selection or subcategorization  $(\mathcal{P})$ ,
- 3. A constraint subranking  $(\mathcal{R})$ .
  - Example Vocabulary Entry (1) $[PL] \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} : & /-i/\\ \mathcal{P} : & [-X]_{\omega} \end{cases}$  $\mathcal{R}: VHARMONY \gg ID-IO$
- Cyclicity effects: Constraint subrankings  $\mathcal{R}$ associated with VIs override the master ranking of a language (Anttila, 2002; Inkelas and Zoll, 2005, 2007) only in the spell-out domain (phase) containing that VI.
- The master ranking undergoes the minimal changes necessary to comply with the cophonologies triggered in that domain. (Sande and Jenks, To appear)

Hannah Sande | Georgetown University hannah.sande@georgetown.edu

# Vowel harmony: Morphosyntactically conditioned

• Third-person object markers (OMs) and plural suffixes in Guébie trigger full vowel harmony.

OMs:	Vowel	harmony

	Root	=3SG.ACC	=NMLZ	Gloss
<b>)</b> )	a. $bala^{3.3}$	bol=3.2	bəl=ə=li <sup>3.2.2</sup>	'hit'
2)	b. tulu <sup>4.4</sup>	tal=3	$tol=o=li^{4.2.2}$	'chase'
	c. jıla <sup>3.3</sup>	jol=o <sup>3.2</sup>	jəl=ə=li <sup>3.2.2</sup>	'ask'

• In the context of other suffixes and clitics, root vowels retain their input features.

3)	Passive: No vowel harmony		
	Active	e Passive	Gloss
	a. 63 <sup>31</sup>	6-0 <sup>312</sup>	'finish'
	b. pi <sup>3</sup>	pi <sup>3</sup> -o <sup>2</sup>	'cook'
	C. $bulu^{2.2}$	$h^{2}-0^{2}, h^{2}-0^{2.2}$	'fly'
	d. bala <sup>3.3</sup>	$a^{3.3}-2, *a^{-3.2}$	'hit'
	e. $Jola^{3.2}$	$_{3}$ Jul -2, $*_{3}$ Jul -2	'take/borrow'

# Cumulative cophonologies in CBP

I extend the Cophonologies by Phase model of Sande and Jenks (To appear), to allow for multiple cophonologies to be triggered within a single spell-out domain, with cumulative effects.

- Default ranking: ID-IO(V), ID-IO  $\gg$ VHARMONY
  - Object marking Vocabulary item (5) $[3sg.hum.acc] \longleftrightarrow$  $[\mathcal{F}:$  $[=X]_{\omega}$  $\mathcal{P}$  :

 $\mathcal{R}: VHARMONY \gg ID-IO$ 

• On its own, the reranking of VHARMONY over • Demotion of ID(V) in the context of alternating ID-IO is not enough to result harmony, since an roots is also motivated by the fact that the initial ID-IO(V) constraint still outranks the constraint vowel in the same subset of roots also undergoes requiring harmony. optional reduction, while other roots do not.

Model summary

When an alternating root and an OM are spelled out within the same phase, their effects accumulate and result in full vowel harmony.

### **Vowel harmony: Lexically** conditioned

• Only a subset of roots undergo vowel harmony, even in the environment of an OM or plural suffix.

• Based on a sample of 2,000 Guébie roots, vowel harmony only occurs in 33% of them.

• Roots in (4) do not alternate.

Lack of vowel harmony for some lexical roots Gloss Root+3sg.acc Root

a.  $yula^{3.2}$   $yul=3^{3.2}$ ,  $*_{yul}=3^{3.2}$ 'take, borrow' b. kalale<sup>3.2.2</sup> kalal= $3^{3.2.2.2}$ , \*kolol= $3^{3.2.2}$  'help'

• Alternating roots (2) tend to share certain phonological traits (C2=/l/, V1=V2)(Sande, 2017, 2018).

• Though no set of phonological traits categorically distinguishes alternating from non-alternating roots.

• Ex: the roots in (4) share a number of phonological traits with those in (2); however, only those in (2) undergo harmony in the context of an OM.

• Roots subject to harmony are also associated with a subranking.

Alternating root Vocabulary item (6)





The expanded CBP model presented here for Guébie could account for doubly (lexically and morphosyntactically) conditioned phonology across languages. Future work will search for and investigate such cross-linguistic patterns.

Theory 20:1–42. University Press.

Thanks to Peter Jenks, co-developer of CBP, and to Sharon Inkelas for guidance and feedback on various work in CBP. Thanks to the Guébie community, and to the PhonLab meeting group at Georgetown for feedback. This project is partially funded by NSF DEL grant number 1760302.





### Cumulative subrankings

	Ranking	Result
fault	$ID(V), ID \gg VHARM$	No harm
j/Pl	$ID(V) \gg VHARM \gg ID$	No harm
t. rts	$ID \gg VHARM \gg ID(V)$	No harm
$\mathbf{th}$	VHARM $\gg$ ID(V), ID	Harmony

### Implications

- Full harmony only in a spell-out domain
- containing both
- 1. an OM or plural suffix
- 2. a root of the alternating class
- Cumulative morpheme-specific subrankings account for harmony.
- Phase-based spell-out accounts for the lack of harmony on outer morphemes: The nominalizer, immune to harmony, is in a separate phase.

### Future work

# References

### Acknowledgements

Anttila, Arto. 2002. Morphologically conditioned phonological alternations. Natural Language & Linguistic

Inkelas, Sharon, and Cheryl Zoll. 2005. *Reduplication: Doubling in morphology*, volume 106. Cambridge

Inkelas, Sharon, and Cheryl Zoll. 2007. Is grammar dependence real? A comparison between cophonological and indexed constraint approaches to morphologically conditioned phonology. Linguistics 45.1:133–171. Sande, Hannah. 2017. Distributing morphologically conditioned phonology: Three case studies from Guébie. Doctoral Dissertation, UC Berkeley.

Sande, Hannah. 2018. Predicting the lexical distribution of vowel reduction in Guébie with weighted constraints. Presentation at WOCAL 9.

Sande, Hannah, and Peter Jenks. To appear. Cophonologies by phase. NELS 48 Proceedings